

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,479	11/01/2000	Alfred Busch	CM1758M/VB	1247	
27752	27752 7590 11/19/2003			EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI	
			ADTIBUT	DADED MINADED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		
CINCINNATI	CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons		09/674,479	BUSCH ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Preeti Kumar	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she twith the c	orrespond nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	eptember 2003.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	. ·			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 22-35 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,22-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a)[ * S 13)□ A si 3 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausce the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures was included in the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the first sentence of the company of the c	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received in Applicating (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification or evisional application has been recomprised to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notic	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/674,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 3 and 18-20 are cancelled. Claim 1 is amended
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 22-35 are pending.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 22-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler et al. (US 6,268,196) in view of Schulein et al. (US 6,117,664) is maintained for the reasons of record and further explained below.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's strongly urge that Fowler et al. in view of Schulein et al. neither teach nor suggest a modified enzyme comprising a catalytically active amino acid sequence with a highly specific linking region selected from the group set forth in the newly amended claim 1. See paper no. 14, Applicant's Remarks page 3, dated 9/3/03. However, the Examiner draws attention to the material limitations of the newly amended claim 1, which include a linking region selected from a plethora of linkers, specifically including CenA cellulase linker. Please see col.3, In 48-53 where Fowler et al. provide motivation to incorporate a CenA linker since the catalytic domain of C. fimi CenA isolated from protease cleaved cellulase does not disrupt the fibril structure of cellulose and instead smooths the surface of the fiber. Thus, one skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to utilize a CenA glycosylated on proline and threonine rich linkers since Fowler et al. teach the use of cellulolytic EGI

Art Unit: 1751

enzymes in which the cellulose binding domains are linked by a peptide rich in Ser, Thr,

Pro in a method of treating a fabric to confer desirable qualities to cellulose containing
fabrics.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 703-305-0178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9309.

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700